School Advancement Plan 2019 - 2020





Learning for life. Together.

This document is created to share the goals, strategies and success indicators for the school. It is a legislative requirement as part of the 3 Year Education Plan and Annual Education Results Review (AERR). It is meant to share the local context and priorities and document school advancement direction. This is an organic document, which is open to change and modification as circumstances, results, and local context dictate.

2019 - 2020 School Advancement Plan

School Goal #1	Learners meet	Learners meet standards							
Division Gateway Sta Division Outcome	1a: Learner	s are successful s are literate and s achieve accept		cellence in cu	ırricular outc	omes throu	igh Responsiv	e Instructional Purpose	ful Assessme
Data Gathered	Provine	cial Test Results	2018/2019 \$	School Year					
	Cours	e HHS Acc Level	NGPS Acc Level	Prov Acc Level	HHS Exc Level	NGPS Exc Level	Prov Exc Level		
	ELAS	81.6	74.3	75.1	10.5	9.2	14.7		
	ELA S K&E	61.1	61.3	57.4	5.6	3.2	5.4		
	Math	9 60.5	52.3	60.0	18.4	8	19.0		
	Math K&E	9 61.1	69.6	59.6	27.8	13	13.2		
	SS 9	78.9	64.4	68.7	18.4	13.8	20.6		
	SS 9 K&E	55.6	55.2	55.9	5.6	6.9	15.0		

89.2

Sci 9

70.6

75.2

21.6

15.0

26.4

	Sci 9 K&E	63.2	. 6	63.3	61.	7	5.3	6.7	10.7
	ELA 30-1	88.5	; 9	94.4	86.8	8	7.7	10.6	12.3
F	ELA 30-2	95.8	9	94.1	87.′	1	0	11.2	12.1
	Math 30-1	55.6	; 6	58.3	77.8	8	0	77.8	35.1
-	Math 30-2	68.4	7	75.0	76.	5	31.6	20.0	16.8
F	SS 30-1	85.7	۶ ۲	37.3	86.	6	7.1	12.7	17.0
	SS 30-2	73.8	5 7	78.4	77.8	8	7.1	7.4	12.2
	Bio 30	80.0	7	75.6	83.9	9	20	20.0	35.5
_	Chem 30 75		8	81.7		7	10.0	18.3	42.5
	Physics 30								
-	Science 30	61.5	; 8	34.5	85.	7	0	22.4	31.2
• F	Provincial T 2018/20 Accepta Level	019 able	Previous 3 Year Average Acc Leve	2018/20 Result E Level)19	018/20 Previou 3 Year Averag Exc Le	us Je	Year compa	ared to Previo
ELA 9	81.6		79.5			7.2			
ELA 9 K&E	61.1		57.4	5.6					

	SS 9	78.9	52.5	18.4	10.4			
	SS 9 K&E	55.6	57.1	5.6	3.3			
	Sci 9	89.2	74.3	21.6	10.2			
	Sci 9 K&E	63.2	78.4	5.3	11.1			
	Math 9	60.5	57.5	18.4	7.8			
	Math 9 K&E	61.1	60.7	27.8	7.2			
	ELA 30-1	88.5	89.7	7.7	8.5			
	ELA 30-2	95.8	82.3	0	8.5			
	SS 30-1	85.7	94.3	7.1	14.2			
	SS 30-2	73.8	75.7	7.1	8.4			
	Bio 30	80.0	92.8	20.0	29.8			
	Chem 30	75	76.2	10	20.6			
	Physics 30							
	Sci 30	61.5	68.4	0	21.9			
Compelling Need	Grade 9							
	 Hillside results are above the province at Acceptable level for all subjects except SS9 K&E No category of reporting showed a decline for all PAT results - all subject areas maintained or improved Overall results are excellent for Science 9 Acc, Exc Overall results are Good for Math 9 Exc; K&E Math 9 Exc; SS 9 Acc and Exc 							

 Overall results are Acceptable for ELA 9 Acc, Exc; ELA 9 K&E Acc, Exc; Math 9 K&E Acc; Science 9 K&E Acc; SS 9 K&E Acc Overall results are an Issue for Math 9 Acc; Sci 9 K&E Exc, SS 9 K&E Exc No results are a concern.
While there has been improvement across the board, the work focusing on strong pedagogy, consistent assessment practices must continue in order to support all student achievement
Grade 12
 Hillside results are below the province at Acceptable level for all subjects except ELA 30-1 and 30-2 There were 3 areas of decline: ELA 30-2 Exc; Bio 30 Acc; Sci 30 Exc Overall results are Good for ELA 30-2 Acc Overall results Acceptable for ELA 30-1 Acc, Exc; SS 30-1 Acc; Chem 30 Acc Overall results are an Issue for SS 30-1 Exc, SS 30-2 Acc and Exc; Bio 30 Acc and Exc; Science 30 Exc Overall results are a concern for ELA 30-2 Exc, Chem 30 Exc, Science 30 Acc
There is a need to improve overall results in almost all subject areas.

School Goal #2	earners are supported through quality learning environments which promote wellness						
Division Gateway Staten	Division Gateway Statement Learners are supported						
Division Outcome	3. Learners have excellent teachers, school leaders, and school authority leaders.						

Data Gathered	
	 Quality Learning Environments: 65% of parents are satisfied with the quality of education their child is receiving, and 20% of parents are very satisfied for a total of 85% 57 % of grade 7 students report the quality of teaching at their school is good, 38% say very good 69 % of grade 10 students report the quality of teaching at their school is good, 27% say very good These results are consistent with the results from the year before, which were an improvement over the 2 previous years

	Student Wellness:				
	Question	Grade	Strongly Agree %	Agree (%)	Top 2 Box (%)
	I am treated fairly my school	by adults at 10	22	63	84
	I am treated fairly my school	by adults at 7	36	51	87
	My teachers care	about me 10	20	59	78
	My teachers care	about me 7	32	55	87
	Your child is treate adults at school	ed fairly by Parents	22	60	80
	Teachers care abo	out your child Parents	30	55	85
Compelling Need	teaching, most of th	at is at a general satisfied	/good not very satisfied/v	ery good	ality of education and quality of do not believe that their teachers

School First Nations, Métis and Inuit Profile

- Hillside Jr/Sr High School serves 106 students from Sturgeon Lake Cree Nation. In addition, there are 85 self-declared FNMI students who are provincially funded. This is equal to 49% of our students being First Nations, Metis and Inuit at Hillside.
- Gateway Academy, Valleyview Campus, serves 35 students from Sturgeon Lake Cree Nation. In addition, there are 7 self-declared FNMI students who are provincially funded. This is equal to 76% of the students at Outreach being First Nations, Metis and Inuit.

Supporting the Application of Foundational Knowledge about First Nations, Métis and Inuit

Guiding questions: What actions are being taken to enhance the specific "Application of Foundational Knowledge of First Nations, Métis and Inuit" competency in the Professional Practice Standards?

Indigenous Support Teacher will continue to provide focused PD in this area, using the Learning Pebbles as the primary source. Partnership with SLCN elder to increase all teachers' understanding of the local traditions, customs and learnings. Discussions will be held with teachers during PGP review to discuss their confidence in this area, their application of their knowledge in their practice.

What are your specific goals for your First Nations, Métis and Inuit students?

- Close the gap in all performance measures

What needs to occur for this to happen?

- Continued relationship building with all students and their families

What are you going to do: what strategies do you have for spending targeted First Nations, Métis and Inuit dollars to address these needs?

Continued work of the Indigenous Support Teacher to be a first contact between home and school, to begin to build relationships where needed

- Introduction of Cree Language and Culture classes to increase feelings of belonging
- Continued, and expanded support through the addition of a 0.5 FTE teacher, in the Outreach Program to allow a wider variety in the education delivery model
- Partnership between the school and an elder to increase understandings and relationships between all members of the school community

School Community Communication and Collaboration

It is expected that this School Advancement Plan is a result of a collaborative effort among students (if appropriate), parents, School Council, staff members and the administrative team. Describe how the school community has been included in the development of your school plan.

Collaboration Approach	Key Contact(s)
Administration - share results of testing, surveys with school community - staff and parents; Share goals and strategies with school community.	Darlene Wood, Principal Les Serediak, Assistant Principal
Teachers - Analyze data; identify areas of growth; develop instructional strategies	Pat Galandie - Gateway Academy Matt Staples - English Laurena Newman - Social Studies/IEF Linda Serediak - Science Kim Caron - Math Jody Morrison - CTS
School Council - Provide feedback around goals and strategies	Amber Krieger, Chair